

# 2013-2014 Adult Education Funding Formula and Allocation\*

Date: January 30, 2013

<b>Total Funding*</b>	
Total ABE Federal + State Program \$	<b>\$21,596,995</b>
DOC Allocation	<b>\$500,000</b>
Remaining Program Money for Alloc.	<b>\$21,096,995</b>

\*Estimated funding available as of January 30, 2013. Actual funding levels may change based on Federal and State allocations

<b>Formula Weights (See Below for Formula Calculation)</b>	
Enrollment	<b>40%</b>
UI Claimants w/o Credential	<b>10%</b>
Performance	<b>50%</b>

		Need				Performance		FY14					FY13		Program \$
R	Counties	Enroll PY 11 & PY 12		UI Claim. w/o cred. (2012)		Earned Reimb PY 11 & PY 12		Program Base <sup>1</sup>	State Base	Federal Base	Performance Allocation <sup>2</sup>	MOE	Base	MOE	Change Percentage
		#	%	#	%	\$	%								
1	Jasper, Lake,LaPorte, Newton, Porter, Pulaski, Starke	5507	13%	2467	9%	\$1,330,575	9.5%	\$2,322,035	\$1,540,906	\$781,129	\$409,771	\$429,598	\$2,128,272	\$485,763	9%
2	Elkhart, Fulton, Kosciusko, Marshall, St. Joseph	5025	12%	4368	17%	\$1,759,940	12.5%	\$2,698,920	\$1,791,008	\$907,912	\$476,280	\$499,326	\$2,171,196	\$495,560	24%
3	Adams, Allen, DeKalb, Grant, Huntington, LaGrange, Noble, Steuben, Wabash, Wells, Whitley	4273	10%	3186	12%	\$1,512,515	10.8%	\$2,264,695	\$1,502,855	\$761,840	\$399,652	\$418,990	\$1,799,877	\$410,809	26%
4	Benton, Carroll, Cass, Clinton, Fountain, Howard, Miami, Montgomery, Tippecanoe, Tipton, Warren, White	4320	10%	1963	7%	\$1,604,905	11.4%	\$2,245,795	\$1,490,313	\$755,482	\$396,317	\$415,493	\$1,679,264	\$383,280	34%
5	Marion, Boone, Hamilton, Hancock, Hendricks, Johnson, Madison, Morgan, Shelbv	10618	26%	7624	29%	\$3,502,780	25.0%	\$5,411,786	\$3,591,270	\$1,820,516	\$955,021	\$1,001,232	\$4,381,364	\$1,000,015	24%
6	Blackford, Delaware, Fayette, Henry, Jay, Randolph, Rush, Union, Wayne	2850	7%	1328	5%	\$1,205,225	8.6%	\$1,594,378	\$1,058,032	\$536,346	\$281,361	\$294,975	\$1,409,871	\$321,793	13%
7	Clay, Parke, Putnam, Sullivan, Vermillion, Vigo	1457	4%	980	4%	\$382,430	2.7%	\$663,429	\$440,253	\$223,177	\$117,076	\$122,741	\$496,043	\$113,218	34%
8	Brown, Daviess, Greene, Lawrence, Martin, Monroe, Orange, Owen	1686	4%	1072	4%	\$622,430	4.4%	\$898,034	\$595,937	\$302,097	\$158,477	\$166,145	\$656,285	\$149,792	37%
9	Bartholomew, Dearborn, Decatur, Franklin, Jackson, Jefferson, Jennings, Ohio, Riplev, Switzerland	2044	5%	1119	4%	\$737,655	5.3%	\$1,061,509	\$704,419	\$357,090	\$187,325	\$196,389	\$974,417	\$222,404	9%
10	Clark, Crawford, Floyd, Harrison, Scott, Washington	1883	5%	892	3%	\$674,545	4.8%	\$963,007	\$639,053	\$323,954	\$169,942	\$178,165	\$593,333	\$135,424	62%
11	Dubois, Gibson, Knox, Perry, Pike, Posey, Spencer, Vanderburgh, Warrick	1696	4%	1335	5%	\$691,915	4.9%	\$973,406	\$645,954	\$327,452	\$171,778	\$180,089	\$810,908	\$185,084	20%
SUBTOTALS		41,359	100%	26,334	100%	\$14,024,915	100.0%	\$21,096,995	\$14,000,000	\$7,096,995	\$3,722,999	\$3,903,144	\$17,100,831	\$3,903,144	
IDOC	IN Dept. of Corrections							\$500,000		\$500,000	\$88,235	\$8,900,000	\$247,800	\$8,900,000	
						TOTALS		\$21,596,995	\$14,000,000	\$7,596,995	\$3,811,234	\$12,803,144	\$17,348,631	\$12,803,144	

<sup>1</sup>Base Allocations were determined as follows:

$$= \text{Total Funds} * \left( \frac{En_R}{En_{ST}} * En \text{ Wt} + \frac{UI_R}{UI_{ST}} * UI \text{ Wt} + \frac{Reim_R}{Reim_{ST}} * Perf. \text{ Wt} \right)$$

## Where:

En<sub>R</sub> / En<sub>ST</sub> = PY 2011 and PY 2012 enrollment for Region / enrollment for the same time period for state

UI<sub>R/ST</sub> = 2012 UI Claimants for Region/2012 UI Claimants for State

Reimb<sub>R</sub>/Reimb<sub>ST</sub> = Total Dollars Earned in Outcomes per the **Adult Education Reimbursement Schedule** for Region / Total Dollars Earned in Outcomes per the Schedule for the State

Reimbursement accrual is from July 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012 *minus* the reimbursement received for enrollment and for EL/Civics

<sup>2</sup> These dollars may be earned by each region via the performance incentive schedule after a region has earned its base allocation in terms of the performance incentive schedule.